

March 29, 2004 Version 1.1

Executive Summary

The Corporate Human Resource Information System (CHRIS) Project encompasses the systems that support human resource management and information for all Department of Energy (DOE) Federal employees and serves as the official system of record. CHRIS is the first operational system under the new I-MANAGE Initiative for corporate business systems. CHRIS has been operational since FY 1998.

Under the new "One HR" vision, the major shift in emphasis over the next five years will be to complete the transition from a paper-based set of work processes to paperless web-enabled processes and to increase focus on the use of analytical capabilities in the system to support strategic alignment with the mission and strategic management of human capital. The HR community will become strategic internal consultants to other DOE program leaders to help them fulfill the mission of the Department.

This five-year plan for the CHRIS system is developed to document efforts to promote sound business practices and management of businesses funded in the Department of Energy Working Capital Fund (WCF). The plan has been developed based on best practices, actual operating experiences, and extensive deliberations among the community of practice and informed by prior plans of this business, oversight agencies and federal consortiums for strategic human capital management. Performance is measured using metrics that support the President's Management Agenda and its goal of strategic management of human capital resources and expansion of egovernment. Financial performance is analyzed based on the Department's accounting system data.

Background:

CHRIS is the first operational system under the new I-MANAGE Initiative for corporate business systems. The Corporate Human Resource Information System (CHRIS) Project encompasses the systems that support human resource management and information for all Department of Energy (DOE) Federal employees and serves as the official system of record. CHRIS was initiated in FY 1997 to insure that a state-of the art system would be in place for human resource processing and information access prior to the Year 2000 rollover. CHRIS became operational in FY 1998. CHRIS is part of the DOE's business management information modernization known as I-MANAGE (formerly known as BMIS) and uses the PeopleSoft web-enabled application software (version 8). The CHRIS project has been expanded over the years to include an integrated modernization approach to meet human resource, training administration and information processing; Employee Self Service (ESS) capabilities through a web portal; an automated on-line vacancy application system and on-line paperless transaction requests and approvals with electronic signatures. The CHRIS project supports the President's Management Agenda for strategic human capital management and expanding e-government.

Mission:

The CHRIS mission is to provide the highest quality Human Resource Management information and services to executives, managers, and employees to enable effective and timely strategic management of the department's human capital. CHRIS supports the OneHR vision led by the DOE Corporate Human Capital Officer (CHCO) and is part of the integrated I-MANAGE vision that enables DOE to be strategically managed.

Benefits to the agency:

- provides decision support capability for timely decisions related to strategic management of human capital;
- provides direct access to human resource information for DOE managers and employees to improve customer service and reduce costs;
- maintains HR information in a centralized location;
- enables a corporate approach to reengineering HR processes;
- reduces turnaround time in completing personnel, benefits and payroll transactions; and,
- facilitates a paperless working environment for the core human resource systems and facilities.

Major Objectives:

- deliver consistent and reliable operations of the departmental HR system of record;
- enhance operational efficiencies to cut costs and improve productivity;
- reduce paperwork;
- eliminate redundant information systems;
- eliminate non-value added work; and,
- provide timely, high quality information necessary to make sound human resource decisions.

Accomplishments:

- Phase 1: Implementation of CHRIS Human Resources (HR)/Benefits September 1998
- Phase 2: Training Administration, Employee Self Service and HR Enhancements October 1999 Phase 2.1: Rollover to Year 2000 – December 1999
- Phase 3: Upgrade to PeopleSoft 7.51 May 2000
- Phase 4: Manage Competencies, HR/TR Enhancements, Expand ESS October 2000

Phase 4.1: HR/TR/MC/ESS Enhancements including pilot automated application system OuickHire – December 2000

Phase 4.2: HR/TR/MC/ESS Enhancements – April 2001

Phase 5.0: Other Major HR Initiatives

ESS enhancements: Online Individual Development Plan/Life Events – June 2001

Automated Financial disclosure Forms – May 2001

Upgrade QuickHire to 3.5 – July 2001

Design/Develop Deliver DOEInfo Training – September 2001 and ongoing as needed

Phase 5.1: Upgrade, Workflow, ESS Expansion

Complete upgrade to PS 8.0 (web architecture) – February 2002

Implemented training workflow – February 2002

Phase 5.2: Implemented HR workflow and revised TR workflow – July 2002

Complete the design, testing and distribution of the RIF procedures using AutoRIF – July 2002

Phase 5.3: Completed the following enhancements to CHRIS - Provided support to the various reorganizations such as in NNSA; completed a timely implementation of the locality pay adjustment; redesigned the CHRIS website to enhance access to critical information and improve navigation; supported the move of employees to the new Department of Homeland Security; supported critical core competencies skills assessment, the PMCDP Program for project managers and the transfer of payroll to DFAS. We also completed the modifications to shift benefits administration from payroll to the servicing personnel offices, and automation of the open season processes such as notification to carriers. – Sept. 2003

Phase 5.4: Completed the design and testing of the Standards of Conduct tracking system for the General Counsel's Office. – September 2003

Introduction:

The CHRIS system is the Department of Energy's official personnel system of record that serves approximately 12,000 executives, managers and employees as well as approximately 20 human resource offices (with both HR and Training Specialists) and provides mandated reports to the Office of Personnel Management (OPM) and Office of Management and Budget (OMB). CHRIS provides integrated human resource information functions for such areas as human resource and training administration, position management, and individual performance management. Personnel data from CHRIS is used for payroll and for financial, budget and resource reporting and planning. The Employee Self Service (ESS) portion of CHRIS provides all DOE employees access to their personal, personnel, and training information and allows them to update that information. Automated recruitment functions are also part of the CHRIS project. New functionality continues

to be added to the CHRIS system each year. Most recently, new capabilities for skills assessment and tracking the certification of core competencies such as for Project Managers (PMCDP) has been added.

The major emphasis over the next five years will be to complete the transition from a paper-based set of work processes to the paperless web-enabled processes and to increase focus on the use of analytical capabilities in the system to support strategic alignment with the mission and strategic management of human capital.

Planning Process:

This Five-year Plan exists in a hierarchy of departmental planning. It is part of the overall I-MANAGE initiative, is one of the IDEA initiatives, and is compliant with the Department's Enterprise Architecture. It supports the President's Management Agenda goal for Strategic Human Capital Management, e-Government initiatives and the Department's Strategic Plan. It also supports the CHCO's HR Strategic Plan.

The plan was developed using best practices, actual operating experiences, and extensive deliberations among the community of practice and informed by prior plans of this business, oversight agencies and federal consortiums for strategic human capital management.

Environmental and competitor analysis:

This section first discusses what trends we perceive in our environment and then shifts to an overview of alternatives for how best to deliver the desired functions, information and services.

Within the environment, there continue to be dynamic trends that shape the decision space. Looking at the present environment and sensing trends, we can see the seeds of the future workforce. We see pressure for flatter, less hierarchical organizations but frequent continuing reorganizations. We see continued pressures for downsizing, more efficient operations, and reengineering of work processes. We see increasing amounts of project work meaning teams and taskforces are formed for projects and members are recruited from throughout the organization or are joint industry/government efforts or cross-governmental. Teams form quickly, do their work, then dissolve and members join other projects. We see continued challenges in recruiting and retaining new employees beyond 5 years because of market place realities so additional focus is needed in recruiting midlevel and senior employees that come and serve for 2, 3, or 4 years then go back to academia or private industry. DOE will also continue to emphasize recruitment of entry level employees. We see increased use of telecommuting at least 1 day a week and use of virtual team methodologies because of the challenges and costs of travel. We see trends of increasing cultural diversity of the workforce. Both men and women are attracted to growing quality of life

factors and a more family friendly work environment in the Department. We see more employees wanting to move into and out of government at different stages of their careers and perhaps shifting to 2 or three days a week for several years either at the end of their careers or around other significant events such as birth of a child or the need to provide care for aging parents as part of the "sandwich" generation. We see new critical skills emerging such as executive skills in forming teams with the right skill mix, managing a blended workforce, coaching, turning around teams in trouble, performance based contracting, formal project management as well as new types of technical skills in different academic disciplines to name a few. We see the mix of employees shifting away from being mostly full-time career Federal employees to more part-time, temporary and greater use of various contractors.

To visualize the types of employees and their relationships in DOE of the future, think of a bulls eye with a small core of key employees that carry the DNA of the organization (procedures, corporate memory, values, etc) surrounded by concentric rings of other types of workers less responsible for the long-term growth and health of an organization yet still contributing to changing mission needs. Those outer rings can include contractors, temporary, part-time workers and alumni. We see more use of strategic alliances and partnerships not just with industry, but also with non-profits and customer groups.

The CHRIS Project Office and the community of practice continue to monitor the evolution of Federalized ERP packages, home grown legacy systems, COTS/GOTS applications as well as opportunities for cross-servicing and outsourcing as alternatives for providing information and services. The human resource and training functions are part of the FAIR inventory. Training is currently undergoing the A-76 process.

Our choice of the PeopleSoft ERP software package gives us a proven, integrated COTS system that is regarded as the world leader for human resource management. PeopleSoft has also been winning awards for its financial management software suite. We have integrated PeopleSoft with other best of breed software. With our continuous improvement to the existing system and investments in adding and improving functionality each year, the CHRIS system functionality and performance continues to provide high levels of service and customer satisfaction for the DOE community.

External regulation, partnerships, and government-wide efforts:

DOE is bound by the same laws and regulations as most other Federal departments and agencies for human resource and training. See Attachment C.

Through the various government-wide e-gov projects, DOE is a partner on various initiatives such as the electronic official personnel folder, one stop recruitment and GO-LEARN.

Resources and capabilities of the organization:

The project is staffed adequately to continue the current strategy for operations and maintenance. The Project staff is very lean and has no bench strength either on the contractor or Federal side so even the loss of one position hurts and work gets deferred. One time funding allows hiring of additional contractor programmers for special projects. To partially mitigate this risk of loss of key personnel, team members are cross-trained as much as possible and procedures are well documented to help new team members reach full efficiency quickly. The actual hardware and software, just like with other organization-wide systems, need continuous improvements to enhance the system or else it rapidly becomes obsolete. The next major upgrade in functionality to PeopleSoft 8.8/8.9 will require additional contractor resources to support the peak workload requirements during design and testing. Those should be funded by the CMIP funds outside of the Working Capital Fund. The other major resource conflict is one of competing priorities for our endusers/customers. They need to find the time in the middle of their daily work and crises to work with the CHRIS Project Office in developing new functionality, to learn new functionality and to get trained. Many end-users/customers are distracted by the A-76 processes and the accelerated period of change associated with their program reorganizations. It is often hard to get their attention on CHRIS related issues. There is also a larger, unresolved question about the cumulative impact on end-users from the multiple system modernizations and the pace of change in the department (QuickHire, T&A, Travel Manager, Procurement Modernization and other I-MANAGE initiatives). Many line managers and their support staffs, particularly in the smaller offices, are feeling overwhelmed by the number of new systems as the administrative side of the department streamlines under various government-wide and DOE-wide initiatives and budget pressures.

In terms of governance, a formal project management structure for CHRIS provides corporate oversight. CHRIS IT investments are controlled by the DOE IT Capital Assets Investment procedures and are also under the I-MANAGE governance structure. The project has a Project Director in ME-13 who authorizes all expenditures from the approved budgets from both CMIP and WCF and provides overall project management leadership. The funding for the Federal staff both in HQ and Morgantown are managed by their respective Office Directors. This project is heavily matrixed with members from ME-13, CIO, ME-50, 51, and 52, NETL and Points of Contact throughout the complex along with support contractors in Germantown, Idaho, and Forrestal. The CHRIS HR and Benefits Team Leader in ME-52 serves as the day-to-day program manager.

We monitor performance progress in several ways. A weekly teleconference of the CHRIS project team members monitors the progress of implementation, development and maintenance tasks against project schedule milestones and deliverables as defined in the detailed CHRIS Project Plan and the approved spending plans for appropriated funds and the contractual scope of contracts. Consequently, any problems or risks are identified early and mitigation strategies can be developed in a timely manner. In addition, due to the maturation of the CHRIS core system, the operations

and maintenance costs of CHRIS are covered by the Department's Working Capital Fund with its own performance measures and reporting requirements to the Board. This provides an additional measure of oversight by senior managers in the Department. The actual budget implementation is reviewed monthly via the formal DISCAS and MARS reports from the financial system of record. Similar reports will be reviewed from the new I-MANAGE STARS system once it is operational.

Regular management briefings focus on the status of project cost, scope, schedule, risks and quality of work products. The nature of the work is that often unforeseen initiatives develop in response to changes in priorities at either OMB or OPM. The project also has a full set of performance measures for evaluation of progress and fulfillment of goals in support of the strategic plan and Administration initiatives. The cumulative weight of information about the project's performance under the plan gives us confidence that it is headed in the right direction and continuing to make progress. In the future, more formal customer satisfaction surveys will be conducted, perhaps over the web, as an additional input to assess performance. These surveys will supplement the current feedback mechanisms from customers and other stakeholders.

Needs and capabilities of customers and suppliers:

The various formal and informal communication channels with customers allow a constant flow of information about needs of customers to come to the CHRIS Project Office's attention. Each year, the project staff and points of contact in the community evaluate and assess the following:

- Proposed developments and enhancements identified by users and the community
- System changes needed due to new legislation or executive orders
- Hardware, software and system configuration changes needed due to anticipated PeopleSoft changes and upgrades
- Equipment and service levels needed for the new features and functions
- New procedural or agency requirements such as for cybersecurity.

All DOE Federal employees have access to the PeopleSoft CHRIS system, Employee Self-Service and QuickHire from their desktop and can operate the computer, browser and mouse to use the system effectively.

Our major contractors and suppliers of software and hardware include DOE as one of their many customers. There is no capacity problem with the suppliers.

Future competitive advantages:

The main competitive advantages for DOE's CHRIS Project are its disciplined and documented change management process, strong support contractors and early problem detection procedures

Corr	orate Human	Resources	Information	System	(CHRIS)) Fiscal Year	2004-2008 Plan
\sim 0 \perp 1	or acc rrainant	I LUDO GIL CUD	TILL OI HILL CLOIL	~,500	(CIII II)	, - 15001 - 001	200 : 2000 I I

within a culture of empowered problem solvers. In addition, there are major competitive advantages in the high level of DOE domain specific knowledge retained by the DOE Federal employees and their commitment to the departmental mission.

General strategy:

The general strategy is to continue the improvement efforts on the existing system, complete the integration under the I-MANAGE initiative and complete the shift to more analytical capabilities to support strategic management of human capital. See Attachment A for more details about major milestones.

Performance Metrics:

In support of the President's Management Agenda goal for strategic management of human capital and the Departmental Strategic Plan, the CHRIS Project will:

- 1. Complete the implementation of human resource/training workflow for 40 % of the departmental subagencies by December 2004. (The goal is 100% by the end of FY 2006 after the major reorganizations have been completed.)
- 2. Complete 80 % or more of Employee Self Service (ESS) enabled personnel transactions through ESS.

Note that there are other performance metrics supporting strategic human capital management that are reported by the CHCO outside of the CHRIS Project.

Appendix A: Major Milestones

Major Trends: Accelerate the shift toward more analytical functionality to support strategic objectives and decrease focus on transactions during the period FY 2004-2008. We also foresee a consolidation of human resource services similar to what has happened to payroll but not in the immediate future. In the near term, there is increased emphasis on skills assessment and performance management.

Priorities:

The priorities and major themes below are recommended as the highest priority from a business point of view to support the President's Management Agenda strategic goal for human capital management and the Department's mission. The best ways to deliver these services are a different decision. See the discussion in the body of the plan.

The recommended priorities assume level funding for working capital fund (\$2.17 M) for operations and maintenance, base funding (\$333 K) for the Morgantown Federal HR staff and a similar amount for the HQ-based CHRIS Project staff (ME-13 and 52), and restoration of the historical level of CMIP funding (\$1.8 M) for development in FY 2005 and FY 2006. The CMIP funding is scheduled to stop after FY 2006 so alternative sources of funding for mission-critical development activities will be required. Without continued investment in enhanced functionality, the system will rapidly become obsolete just like other major systems.

FY	Priorities		Comments
2003	Enhance Training and HR workflow	Under a reduced	Development funds
		project plan for	from CMIP have been
	Implement HR workflow Group	development, all	cut by \$1,050,000.
	award	revised milestones for	
		FY 2003 have been	Transition the
	Complete PMCDP first phase for IT	completed.	development support
	PMs		task to the new RSIS
			contract.
	Complete mods and security changes		
	to support reorganizations in NNSA		Revisions to
	and others		performance metrics
			will continue to ensure
	Complete the changes to support the		we are measuring the
	outsourcing of payroll to DFAS		"right" things in the
			"right" manner. For
	Escalate marketing efforts through		example, we are
	top level management to support		reviewing the

	accelerate use of both HR and TR workflow functionality by all organizations since that is where the major benefits to the department are generated.	advantages of using some measures of intellectual capital in the performance metrics.
	Complete the pilot for skills assessment	
	Review the requirements and complete development for automation of benefits administration and FEHB open season	
	Complete mods to accommodate the new TSP catch-up program.	
	Prepare the Servicing Personnel Offices for transition of Benefits Administration Services from Payroll to DFAS.	
	Continue operations and maintenance	
2004	Expand skills assessment and core competencies support and add analytical tools	Development funding from CMIP was cut by \$800,000 in FY 2004
	Initiate the Quick Class pilot with NNSA.	
	Plan for transition to PS 8.8/8.9	
	Complete PS upgrade gap analysis, design and tests	
	Complete Security Certification for system	

	Review eApps functionality such as ePerformance Management and plan upgrade Support A-76 process Provide CHRIS support for various e-Gov initiatives such as GO-LEARN and the HR line of business Continue operations and maintenance	
	Complete interface to STARS	A. 0.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.0
2005	Implement historical data archives Implement PeopleSoft v 8.8/8.9 Continue operations and maintenance	\$1,800,000 funding is proposed.
2006	Complete interface to e-Travel Add functionality such as for drug testing, and security clearances/badging and related analytical tools Continue operations and maintenance	New source of development funding may be necessary if CMIP funds expire in FY 2006. Proposed funding is \$1,800,000.
2007	Continue transition to paperless processes Continue provision of analytical tools Continue operations and maintenance	
2008	Complete transition to one fully integrated system	

Continue operations and	
maintenance	

Appendix B: Financial Analysis

For FY 2004, the WCF portion of the overall CHRIS Program budget is \$2.17 Million. This total does not include any funding for Federal employees working on the project in Headquarters or NETL. It also does not include any funding for development activities since those come from the CMIP funding directed by the CIO or any carryover funds from prior years.

The financial objectives for the CHRIS Project are to manage all funds in a cost-efficient manner and to stay under budget for the fiscal year. By that measure, the CHRIS Project was fully successful in FY 2003. The buildup of uncosted balances in the early part of the 5 year plan is necessary to fund the scheduled 3 year upgrades for all servers used to support the system. Without such a build-up, the variable nature of the required pricing policy would be unacceptable to most customers to meet the peak funding requirements for keeping the system current and meeting customer needs. In collaboration with the Working Capital Fund staff, the CHRIS Project staff continue to improve the use of reporting capabilities in the financial system to oversee program expenditures.

Pricing Policy:

CHRIS charges to WCF customers represent operating and maintenance costs for a fully operational national system that is the official DOE system of record for human capital management. For example, the WCF portion of the budget includes funds for contractor support at NETL for the operations and maintenance of the system, software and hardware purchases and maintenance costs, backup support and disaster recovery services from the CIO, telecommunications costs, operation and maintenance of the Employee Self-Service information portal, disaster recovery support, and web site operations and maintenance at INEL. The costs do not vary depending on the number of transactions in the system or usage rate by any one organization. Costs are fixed, not variable. These WCF funds do not cover any salary, benefits, training and travel costs for Federal employees.

Pricing Method (Billing Calculation):

CHRIS charges are based upon annual estimated operating costs for CHRIS operations approved by the WCF Board. Rates are structured to recover this amount based on a per capita (FTEs on board as of a certain date) algorithm. Customers are usually billed in the October WCF bill for the total annual costs. The rate is reviewed at least annually and adjusted as needed.

The policy and overall funding for the project from the WCF has remained stable for two years now and we plan to keep it stable for FY 2004 as well at the \$2.17 M level. The new functionality that we add to the system each year means that our clients are gaining more benefits without any incremental charge. The nature of the information and services provided by CHRIS is such that it is

best addressed as a bundle rather than as individual, severable, items of service that are separately priced and at the discretion of customers since CHRIS is the official system of record for the department. The cost to establish a separate system to price each feature or service under CHRIS such as an activity based costing approach would be both time consuming and expensive and the initial assessment is that it not worth further consideration. The pricing for the information and services follows an internet model in that the incremental cost for an additional use is zero once the information or service is created. Consequently, the current approach of a single price per FTE on board as of a certain date is determined to be both fair and administratively efficient. We do not recommend a change.

Appendix C: OPM Guides and Information

- 1. Title 5, United States Code (U.S.C.) and the Code of Federal Regulations http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara.cfr
- 2. Guide to Personnel Data Standards http://www.opm.gov/feddata/html/datastan.htm
- 3. Guide to Personnel Record Keeping http://www.opm.gov/feddata/html/opf.htm
- 4. Guide to Processing Personnel Actions http://www.opm.gov/feddata/gppa/gppa.htm
- 5. Health Insurance http://www.opm.gov/insure/index.html
- 6. Job Classification Systems http://www.opm.gov/fedclass/index.htm
- 7. Life Insurance http://www.opm.gov/insure/life/index.htm
- 8. Pay and Leave and Work Schedules http://www.opm.gov/oca/index.htm
- 9. Retirement Programs (CSRS & FERS) http://www.opm.gov/retire/index.htm
- 10. Performance Management http://www.opm.gov/insure/perform/index.htm
- 11. Reduction in Force http://www.opm.gov/rif/general/rifmenu.htm
- 12. Thrift Savings Plan (TSP) http://www.tsp.gov/